

FLORIMEX FREIGHTFORWARD N.V., a) Case No. C06 06484 JF HRL
Curacao corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,) HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL
) COURTROOM 3
)
v.) STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
) ANSWER COMPLAINT AND TO
) CONTINUE INITIAL CASE
S.A. COMMERCE LLC, a California limited) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; ORDER
liability company,) THEREON
)
Defendant.)
)
)
)

2. The Litigation was commenced by the filing of a Complaint for Damages (“Complaint”) on October 17, 2006.

3. On October 17, 2006, the Court issued its Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines. The initial Case Management Conference was scheduled for January 12, 2007, at 10:30 a.m.; and, continued by stipulation to March 16, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. on the court's calendar.

4. Defendant SAC was served with the summons and Complaint on November 10, 2006.

5. After settlement discussions between counsel for the Parties, the Parties have decided that it would promote judicial efficiency and be in their best interest to extend the time for SAC to file an answer to the Complaint and to continue the initial Case Management Conference to allow settlement discussions to continue without incurring additional costs.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED BY THE PARTIES AS FOLLOWS:

A. The time for SAC to answer the Complaint is extended until five court days from written notice via facsimile to counsel for SAC by counsel for Florimex that negotiations have failed and that an answer is required.

B. The Case Management Conference set for March 16, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 3, shall be continued to April 20, 2007, at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 3.

C. All deadlines pursuant to FRCivP 26, Civil L.R. 16 and ADR L.R. 3-5 are continued accordingly.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: March 9, 2007

MILBERG & DE PHILLIPS, P.C.

By: 

Roy L. Carlson, Jr.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATED: March __, 2007

The Law Office of Paul A. Spiegel

By: _____

Paul A. Spiegel
Attorney for Defendant

1 3. On October 17, 2006, the Court issued its Order Setting Initial Case Management
2 Conference and ADR Deadlines. The initial Case Management Conference was scheduled for
3 January 12, 2007, at 10:30 a.m.; and, continued by stipulation to March 16, 2007 at 10:30 a.m.
4 on the court's calendar.

5 4. Defendant SAC was served with the summons and Complaint on November 10,
6 2006.

7 5. After settlement discussions between counsel for the Parties, the Parties have
8 decided that it would promote judicial efficiency and be in their best interest to extend the time
9 for SAC to file an answer to the Complaint and to continue the initial Case Management
10 Conference to allow settlement discussions to continue without incurring additional costs.

11 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED BY THE PARTIES AS
12 FOLLOWS:

13 A. The time for SAC to answer the Complaint is extended until five court days from
14 written notice via facsimile to counsel for SAC by counsel for Florimex that negotiations have
15 failed and that an answer is required.

16 B. The Case Management Conference set for March 16, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. in
17 Courtroom 3, shall be continued to April 20, 2007, at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 3.

18 C. All deadlines pursuant to FRCP 26, Civil L.R. 16 and ADR L.R. 3-5 are
19 continued accordingly.

20 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21 DATED: March __, 2007

MILBERG & DE PHILLIPS, P.C.

22 By: _____

Roy L. Carlson, Jr.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

23 DATED: March ⁹ __, 2007

The Law Office of Paul A. Spiegel

24 By: _____


Paul A. Spiegel
Attorney for Defendant

25 2
26 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER AND TO CONTINUE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; ORDER THEREON
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 3/15/07


JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge